

**From:** Tierra Smiley [tsmevans@ucdavis.edu]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 28, 2022 4:35 PM  
**To:** Plowright, Raina [raina.plowright@montana.edu]  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Frankenstein draft  
**Attachments:** Smiley\_Evans\_NSF\_Biosketch.pdf; COA\_SmileyEvans\_Aug2021.xlsx

Hi Raina,

Here are my materials. Best of luck and let me know when you want me to take a look at specific sections.

Best,

Tierra

On Fri, Jan 28, 2022 at 1:04 PM Plowright, Raina <[raina.plowright@montana.edu](mailto:raina.plowright@montana.edu)> wrote:

Hey folks,

As I've mentioned in separate emails and calls, I'm trying to write a small part of a 12 page pre-proposal for an NSF Science Technology Center led by Tufts. Bats/deforestation/disease would be one of 3 systems (Eastern Pacific, African savanna, Australasia forest) looking at intersection of climate, biodiversity and disease.

See below for a very rough draft of the proposal and you can see the various players involved.

The proposal online includes an earlier draft of the bat work and I've been working on a newer draft. It's going to come together very last minute and over a weekend and that is entirely my fault, I've just been running beyond my capacity for the past few weeks with activities that needed my full attention. I'll tag you when I have something ready for you to read, but I won't expect input at this last stage (but will absolutely love input if you can). I hope to have something late tonight or mid-morning tomorrow.

If you haven't sent your NSF biosketch and COA form, please send to me today. New biosketch format needed - see attached.

No budgets or other docs needed for pre-proposal... if we get to full proposal then we will have a fully engaged process.

Thanks for being involved and thanks for your patience with the last minute process.

Raina

---

**From:** Nutter, Felicia B <[Felicia.Nutter@tufts.edu](mailto:Felicia.Nutter@tufts.edu)>  
**Date:** Friday, January 28, 2022 at 7:58 AM  
**To:** Katie Prager <[kcprager@ucla.edu](mailto:kcprager@ucla.edu)>, Katherine Prager <[kcprag@gmail.com](mailto:kcprag@gmail.com)>, Mariner, Jeffrey C. <[Jeffrey.Mariner@tufts.edu](mailto:Jeffrey.Mariner@tufts.edu)>, Jamie Lloyd-Smith <[jilloydsmith@ucla.edu](mailto:jilloydsmith@ucla.edu)>, Jamie Lloyd-Smith <[jilloydsmith@gmail.com](mailto:jilloydsmith@gmail.com)>, Terra Kelly <[terrakelly75@gmail.com](mailto:terrakelly75@gmail.com)>, karen shapiro <[kshapiro@ucdavis.edu](mailto:kshapiro@ucdavis.edu)>, Elizabeth VanWormer <[liz.vanwormer@unl.edu](mailto:liz.vanwormer@unl.edu)>, Tristan Burgess <[tburgess@centerforwildlifestudies.org](mailto:tburgess@centerforwildlifestudies.org)>, Raina Plowright <[rplowright@gmail.com](mailto:rplowright@gmail.com)>, Plowright, Raina <[raina.plowright@montana.edu](mailto:raina.plowright@montana.edu)>, Glenn Bush <[gbush@woodwellclimate.org](mailto:gbush@woodwellclimate.org)>, Dan Haydon <[Daniel.Haydon@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:Daniel.Haydon@glasgow.ac.uk)>, Sarah Cleaveland <[Sarah.Cleaveland@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:Sarah.Cleaveland@glasgow.ac.uk)>, [timothy.tear@briwildlife.org](mailto:timothy.tear@briwildlife.org) <[timothy.tear@briwildlife.org](mailto:timothy.tear@briwildlife.org)>, [timothy.tear@briloon.org](mailto:timothy.tear@briloon.org) <[timothy.tear@briloon.org](mailto:timothy.tear@briloon.org)>, [amanda@amandafayeconsulting.com](mailto:amanda@amandafayeconsulting.com) <[amanda@amandafayeconsulting.com](mailto:amanda@amandafayeconsulting.com)>, [cschwalm@woodwellclimate.org](mailto:cschwalm@woodwellclimate.org) <[cschwalm@woodwellclimate.org](mailto:cschwalm@woodwellclimate.org)>, Wayne Walker <[wwalker@woodwellclimate.org](mailto:wwalker@woodwellclimate.org)>, Moomaw, William R <[William.Moomaw@tufts.edu](mailto:William.Moomaw@tufts.edu)>, Mark E Ritchie <[meritchi@syr.edu](mailto:meritchi@syr.edu)>  
**Subject:** Frankenstein draft

Dear Dream Team,

Well, for better or worse the Frankenstein working draft is now available [here](#). If anyone has trouble accessing it, please let me know. It's rougher than I would like at this stage, but as Raina during a chat last night, "we can do magic!" I like that optimism.

One note to the E Pacific team – don't freak out, your incredible section is not yet there. I'm still condensing the 11 pages of genius material into 1.5 pages, and then you can all repair whatever I got wrong.

For those with some time, please provide edits/comments/suggestions/etc., with a focus on the

- Center rationale (is it clear, compelling?)
- Breakthroughs being sought – still too fluffy, we need specifics
- Potential impacts and intended legacies – please add to the bullets, these can be polished over the next few days

For the Research Plan

- Is the overview at the right level – ambitious but achievable? Does it represent critical scientific advances that we cannot achieve through another NSF funding mechanism?
- Are the unifying themes/questions/methods complete, accurate, at the appropriate level?
- For each system, are the highlighted questions/gaps the most urgent/compelling ones that we can address? Are the research approaches to address each question/gap described in enough detail?

Accomplishments and challenges – please add bullets to each, ambitious and achievable expected results, and the things that will knock us off course.

I have slightly reorganized the parent Drive folders, so that [1 EDIT ME Working draft](#) is at the top

[2 PLEASE COMPLETE NSF Biosketch and COA forms](#) is next

And then [3 Proposal Components](#) contains all the materials developed so far on the various systems, policy, etc.